



## D. FESSLER

### Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

16F: ANTHRO 297 SEM 5: SLCTD TOPICS-ANTHRO  
 No. of responses = 2  
 Enrollment = 2  
 Response Rate = 100%

#### Survey Results

#### 1. Background Information:

##### 1.1) Year in School:

|           |                                |     |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Freshman  | <input type="text" value="0"/> | n=2 |
| Sophomore | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| Junior    | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| Senior    | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| Graduate  | <input type="text" value="2"/> |     |
| Other     | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |

##### 1.2) UCLA GPA:

|                 |                                |     |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Below 2.0       | <input type="text" value="0"/> | n=2 |
| 2.0 - 2.49      | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| 2.5 - 2.99      | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| 3.0 - 3.49      | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| 3.5+            | <input type="text" value="2"/> |     |
| Not Established | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |

##### 1.3) Expected Grade:

|    |                                |     |
|----|--------------------------------|-----|
| A  | <input type="text" value="0"/> | n=2 |
| B  | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| C  | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| D  | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| F  | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| P  | <input type="text" value="2"/> |     |
| NP | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| ?  | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |

##### 1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?

|               |                                |     |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Major         | <input type="text" value="0"/> | n=2 |
| Related Field | <input type="text" value="1"/> |     |
| G.E.          | <input type="text" value="0"/> |     |
| None          | <input type="text" value="1"/> |     |

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

|      |   |                   |  |                     |                                |
|------|---|-------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2.1) | Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.       | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.2) | Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.            | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.3) | Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class. | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.4) | Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.            | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.5) | Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.                       | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.6) | Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.                                | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |
| 2.7) | Overall – Your overall rating of the course.                                    | Very Low or Never | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Very High or Always | n=2<br>av.=9<br>md=9<br>dev.=0 |

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

|      |  |  |                |      |                                       |  |
|------|--|--|----------------|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 3.1) | Subject interest before course         | Low  | 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 | High | n=2<br>av.=3<br>md=3<br>dev.=0        |  |
| 3.2) | Subject interest after course          | Low  | 0 0 2<br>1 2 3 | High | n=2<br>av.=3<br>md=3<br>dev.=0        |  |
| 3.3) | Mastery of course material             | The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate. |                |      |                                       |  |
| 3.4) | Difficulty (relative to other courses) | Low  | 0 1 1<br>1 2 3 | High | n=2<br>av.=2.5<br>md=2.5<br>dev.=0.71 |  |
| 3.5) | Workload/pace was                      | The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate. |                |      |                                       |  |
| 3.6) | Texts, required readings               | The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate. |                |      |                                       |  |
| 3.7) | Homework assignments                   | The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate. |                |      |                                       |  |

3.8) Graded materials, examinations


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

3.9) Lecture presentations



n=1  
av.=3  
md=3  
dev.=0  
ab.=1

3.10) Class discussions



n=2  
av.=3  
md=3  
dev.=0

# Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO  
 Name of the instructor: D. FESSLER  
 Name of the course: 16F: ANTHRO 297 SEM 5: SLCTD TOPICS-ANTHRO  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

|  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |                     |     |          |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|-----|----------|
| 2.1) Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.       | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.2) Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.            | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.3) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class. | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.4) Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.            | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.5) Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.                       | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.6) Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.                                | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |
| 2.7) Overall – Your overall rating of the course.                                    | Very Low or Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Very High or Always | n=2 | av.=9.00 |

## 3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

|   |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |           |     |          |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----------|-----|----------|
| 3.1) Subject interest before course         | Low      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High      | n=2 | av.=3.00 |
| 3.2) Subject interest after course          | Low      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High      | n=2 | av.=3.00 |
| 3.3) Mastery of course material (*)         | Low      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High      |     |          |
| 3.4) Difficulty (relative to other courses) | Low      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High      | n=2 | av.=2.50 |
| 3.5) Workload/pace was (*)                  | Too Slow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Too Much  |     |          |
| 3.6) Texts, required readings (*)           | Poor     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent |     |          |
| 3.7) Homework assignments (*)               | Poor     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent |     |          |
| 3.8) Graded materials, examinations (*)     | Poor     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent |     |          |
| 3.9) Lecture presentations                  | Poor     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent | n=1 | av.=3.00 |
| 3.10) Class discussions                     | Poor     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excellent | n=2 | av.=3.00 |

(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

## Comments Report

## 4. Comments:

- <sup>4.1)</sup> Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Dan did a nice job of encouraging productive and useful dialogue for presenters. XBA was valuable, as it has been, and I look forward to receiving similar feedback in the coming quarters.