
UCLA EIP D. FESSLER   Evaluation of Instruction Program Report   13W: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM   No. of responses = 7   Enrollment = 11   Response Rate = 63.64%											
	Survey Resu	Ito									
	Survey Resu	115									
Background Information:											
Year in School:											
	Freshman		1	n=7							
	Sophomore		0								
	Junior		1								
	Senior		5								
	Graduate		0								
	Other		0								
UCLA GPA:											
	Below 2.0		1	n=6							
	2.0 - 2.49		0								
	2.5 - 2.99		0								
	3.0 - 3.49		2								
	3.5+		3								
	Not Established		0								
Expected Grade:											
	A		1	n=7							
	В		0								
	C		0								
	D		0								
	F		0								
	P (		6								
	NP ?		0								
	·		v								
What requirements does this	course fulfill?										
	Major		3	n=7							
	Related Field		1								
	G.E.		0								
	None		3								

To What Extent Do You Feel That:								
Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or of Never	) 0	0 0	1	0	0 0 7 8	6 Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.43 md=9 dev.=1.51
Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0 7 8	5 Very High or Always	n=5 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0 ab.=2-
Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	) 0	0 0	1	0	0 0 7 8	6 Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.43 md=9 dev.=1.51
Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.	Very Low or of Never		0 1	0	0 	0 0 7 8	6 Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.29 md=9 dev.=1.89
Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.	Very Low or on Never	0 0	1 0	0	0 	0 0 7 8	6 Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.14 md=9 dev.=2.27
Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.	Very Low or on Never	) 0	0 0	0	1 6	0 0 	6 Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.57 md=9 dev.=1.13
Overall – Your overall rating of the course.	Very Low or of Never	) 0	0 0	1	0 6		6 Very High or Always 9	n=7 av.=8.43 md=9 dev.=1.51
Your View of Course Characteristics:								
Subject interest before course	Low	1		0 H2		6	High —	n=7 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.76
Subject interest after course	Low	1		0 H		6 3	High —	n=7 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.76
Mastery of course material	Low	0		1		4	High	n=5 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.45 
Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	2 [		3	<b>I</b>	1	High	n=6 av.=1.83 md=2 dev.=0.75 
Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0		4		1	Too Much	n=5 av.=2.2 md=2 dev.=0.45 - ab.=2
Texts, required readings	The evaluat response ra		ll not	be d	disp	ayed	due to low	
Homework assignments	Poor ⊢	1		2		1	Excellent	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=1.41 



# Profile

Subunit:

#### ANTHRO D. FESSLER

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

13W: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## To What Extent Do You Feel That:

Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.

Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.


Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.


Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.

Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.

Overall – Your overall rating of the course.



## Your View of Course Characteristics:



(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

03/23/2013

#### **Comments Report**

Comments:

Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.

- Fesslab is the best!
- I am so appreciative of this class. I learn more about anthropology from spending 20 minutes with Dr. Fessler than I do spending an hour with another instructor. This class doesn't only teach more about the subject of anthroplogy, it also teaches us how to think like scientists and gives us a great demonstration in how experiments are carried out, (and there's plenty of participation on our part!) No criticisms. This is my favorite class at UCLA and it has been for a year.
- I love how we brainstorm new ideas for research. We all work as a team, and after each meeting, I always learn something new. Thank you for this amazing experience Dr. Fessler and Dr. Holbrook. Long live Fesslab!
- The Research Group seminar has been extremely beneficial to developing my skills within Anthropology. I have noticed that after enrolling in the seminar, my performance in other Anthropology courses, especially my comprehension of peer-reviewed articles, has improved. I attribute this to a focus on the methods and hands-on component presented by the research group opportunities which has enabled me to understand other materials from this perspective. Based on my experience, I would recommend other courses or departments to consider providing similar opportunities for the application of skills presented in lectures. I think that this has been extremely beneficial to my overall success at UCLA!
- This professor is an exemplary instructor who not only provides us with interesting scientific research and gives us an opportunity for hands on lab experience, but also gives us meaningful and sometimes profound life lessons.
- Thoroughly enjoyable course. Maybe repeat less material? I know it can be difficult with new RA's coming in all the time.

Respectfully,