UCLA EIP D. FESSLER Evaluation of Instruction Program Report 15F: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM No. of responses = 11 Enrollment = 13 Response Rate = 84.62%									
	Survey Results								
1 Paakaround Information:									
1. Background Information:									
^{1.1)} Year in School:									
	Freshman	0	n=10						
	Sophomore	1							
		1							
	Senior Graduate	8							
	Other	0							
^{1.2)} UCLA GPA:									
	Below 2.0	0	n=11						
	2.0 - 2.49	0							
	2.5 - 2.99	2							
	3.0 - 3.49	4							
	3.5+) Not Established	5							
^{1.3)} Expected Grade:									
	Α	1	n=10						
	В	0							
	С	0							
	D	0							
	F	0							
	Р [9							
	NP ?	0							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
^{1.4)} What requirements does this co	ourse fulfill?								
	Major	2	n=11						
	Related Field	2							
	G.E.	0							
	None	7							

2. T	o What Extent Do You Feel That:												
v	nstructor Concern – The instructor vas concerned about student earning.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
^{2.2)} (Drganization – Class presentations vere well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 7	1		Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.36 md=9 dev.=0.92
S	nteraction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		0	0	0	0	0	0	3 - 8		Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.73 md=9 dev.=0.47
i	Communication Skills – The nstructor had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	 1 7			Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.73 md=9 dev.=0.65
^{2.5)}	/alue – You have learned something /ou consider valuable.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	 1 7 		9 -	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.73 md=9 dev.=0.65
^{2.6)} C	Overall – Your overall rating of the nstructor.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 H 8	10 -1 9	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.91 md=9 dev.=0.3
	Overall – Your overall rating of the course.	Very Low or Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	Very High or Always	n=11 av.=8.64 md=9 dev.=0.67
3. Y	Your View of Course Characteristics:												
^{3.1)} S	Subject interest before course	Low		0			3	<u> </u>	-	8		High	n=11 av.=2.73 md=3 dev.=0.47
^{3.2)}	Subject interest after course	Low		0			0			11		High	n=11 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
^{3.3)}	Mastery of course material	Low		0			1			8 3		High	n=9 av.=2.89 md=3 dev.=0.33 ab.=2
^{3.4)} [Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		1		<u> </u>	6	1		4 -] 3		High	n=11 av.=2.27 md=2 dev.=0.65
^{3.5)}	Vorkload/pace was	Too Slow		1		⊢	6	I		3		Too Much	n=10 av.=2.2 md=2 dev.=0.63 ab.=1
3.6)	exts, required readings	Poor		0			1			3		Excellent	n=4 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.5 ab.=7

^{3.7)} Homework assignments	Poor	0	0	3	Excellent	n=3 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=8
^{3.8)} Graded materials, examinations	Poor	0	0	3	Excellent	n=3 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=8
^{3.9)} Lecture presentations	Poor	0	0	7	Excellent	n=7 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=4
^{3.10)} Class discussions	Poor	0	0	10 3	Excellent	n=10 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=1

Profile

Subunit:

ANTHRO D. FESSLER

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

15F: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

- 2.1) Instructor Concern The instructor was concerned about student learning.
- ^{2.2)} Organization Class presentations were well prepared and organized.
- $^{2.3)}\,$ Interaction Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.
- 2.4) Communication Skills The instructor had good communication skills.
- ^{2.5)} Value You have learned something you consider valuable.
- $^{2.6)}$ $\,$ Overall Your overall rating of the instructor.
- $^{2.7)}$ Overall Your overall rating of the course.

1	1 1	1 1	1		
Very Low or Never			Very High or Always	n=11	av.=9.00
Very Low or Never		+	Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.36
Very Low or Never			Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.73
Very Low or Never			Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.73
Very Low or Never			Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.73
Very Low or Never			Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.91
Very Low or Never		<u> </u>	Very High or Always	n=11	av.=8.64

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

		l.				
3.1)	Subject interest before course	Low		High	n=11	av.=2.73
3.2)	Subject interest after course	Low	 ``````````````````````````````````````	High	n=11	av.=3.00
3.3)	Mastery of course material	Low		High	n=9	av.=2.89
3.4)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=11	av.=2.27
3.5)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=10	av.=2.20
3.6)	Texts, required readings	Poor	 	Excellent	n=4	av.=2.75
3.7)	Homework assignments	Poor	 `	Excellent	n=3	av.=3.00
3.8)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=3	av.=3.00
3.9)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=7	av.=3.00
3.10)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=10	av.=3.00

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- ^{4.1)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Assisting in Dr. Fessler and Dr. Holbrook's research is always a pleasure. They are both very dedicated to their work and do their very best to make sure that our time is used efficiently.
- Dr. Fessler is unique in that he is both passionate about his research but also eager for his students to learn. This creates an environment in which you enjoy learning from somebody doing very important research (though he'd never admit it) in his field and being taught be the same person who genuinely enjoy teaching. I wish I could take a class with Dr. Fessler every quarter.
- I have learned take concepts discussed in lecture and see how they apply to the real world scenarios. I feel it is important to be able take a step back and analyze our human behavior through an evolutionary perspective in order to be able to better understand some of the issues we face today such as terrorism, racism, etc. The student's ideas/input are always welcomed and taken into consideration which is a wonderful trait to have in a research lab.
- I joined this research group with Professor Fessler because I knew he was an excellent person. I had a fiat lux with him last year and it was there where he offered me to hold a position in his lab group. He is very concerned and explains difficult concepts in a basic manner.
- In my time with the lab, I feel I have developed a much greater understanding of the intricacies of human behavioral research, from experimental design to data collection methods and the aggregation of the data to test hypotheses. I've gained more practical experience in this lab than I could have in any traditional classroom setting, by learning to actually apply the principles taught in Fessler's 124P course to real research. Truly one of the best experiences I've had during my time at UCLA. Dr. Fessler and Collin are as certainly as exceptional as an educators as they are researchers, and the newest post-doc, Adam, whose acquaintance we only recently made, seems to be on par with their excellence as well.
- This course provides a unique way of not only learning about the research process, but also an opportunity to contribute ideas to experimental design. The studies done by this group take on an evolutionary perspective to observations in society, and test them using innovative methods. This quarter, the course was not as beneficial because one of the studies was especially taxing on our time commitment. However, the other studies were interesting-- I found the discussion on science in the media in particular was interesting because it represented a real life example of an issue talked about in many of my society and genetics classes. I also felt the class bonded a lot more this quarter, and this facilitated greater dialogue.
- This is my third quarter in the lab. I've witnessed a significant development in my understanding of and skills as a researcher. I am not planning on going into academia (but rather applying my knowledge) but what this lab has taught and continues to teach me can be applied anywhere. Dr. Fessler and Dr. Holbrook (and now Dr. Sparks, as well) have taught me, this quarter and previous quarters, how to question--specifically how to question methodology and results. I'm not planning on going into academia, but I still am affected by research that is conducted; politicians use research, the medical industry uses research, NGO's and think tanks use research. Being able to discern quality scholarship from shoddy scholarship is an invaluable skill that will completely affect the choices I make for the rest of my life, all the way from how I vote to how I spend my money to how I treat others. Not only that, but we get to be front and center to the research being done; we get to ask questions of experts conducting that research. We're really lucky to have this lab. What I get in this lab is both rare (I don't get it elsewhere) and extremely valuable. Every student would benefit from being a part of science and scientific enquiry "in action."

This research lab has provided me with a mass amount of knowledge on current research being conducted today. Working with Dr. Fessler is one of those opportunities that only UCLA could provide in my under graduate experience.